## Matthews Law

COMPETITION · REGULATION · POLICY · STRATEGY

## Summary of the cartel prohibition & exceptions

**Prohibition:** No person may enter into a contract, arrangement or understanding (**CAU**) that contains a **cartel provision**, or otherwise give effect to a **cartel provision**. To be caught a provision does not have to be in a contract; a CAU can be a "wink and nod" type agreement. A cartel provision is a provision in a CAU that has the purpose, effect or likely effect of:

PRICE FIXING	<b>RESTRICTING OUTPUT</b>	MARKET ALLOCATING
<ul> <li>Fixing / controlling / maintaining:</li> <li>price, discount, allowance, rebate credit</li> <li>for/in relation to goods or service</li> <li>supplied or acquired by 2 or more parties in competition.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>the (likely) capacity to supply services;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Allocating between parties:</li> <li>the persons or classes of persons to/from whom the parties supply/acquire goods/services; or</li> <li>the geographic areas in which the parties supply/acquire goods/services</li> <li>in competition with each other.</li> </ul>

[1] Or where the provision "provides for" any of the above.

[2] References to parties being "in competition" includes likely competitors & those whom "but for" a cartel provision would, or would be likely to, be in competition in relation to the supply or acquisition of those goods / services.

Exceptions: The main exceptions are below. There are also specific exceptions (eg for partnerships, exports, corporate groups).

<ul> <li>The cartel prohibition does not apply if, at the time of entering into / arriving at or giving effect to the cartel provision:</li> <li>the person and 1 or more other</li> <li>The cartel prohibition does not apply where a contract (but <b>not</b> an arrangement or understanding):</li> <li>A provision in a CAU does not had purpose, effect or likely effect of <b>fixing</b> if the provision:</li> <li>the person and 1 or more other</li> <li>is between a (likely) supplier of</li> <li>relates to collective acquisition</li> </ul>	
• the person and 1 or more other • is between a (likely) supplier of • relates to collective acquisit	
<ul> <li>parties are involved in a collaborative activity, ie:</li> <li>enterprise, venture or other activity in trade</li> <li>carried on in cooperation by 2 or more persons</li> <li>not for the <i>dominant purpose of lessening competition</i> between 2 or more of the purpose of the collaborative activity.</li> <li>the cartel provision is <i>reasonably necessary</i> for the purpose of the collaborative activity.</li> </ul>	g of the v otiation vidual y v to take m or

In addition, the restrictive trade practice exceptions (eg for partnerships, exports and more) also apply.

Anti-competitive "arrangements" are also illegal: Even if a cartel exception applies, provisions of a CAU are still prohibited if they have the purpose or (likely) effect of substantially lessening competition. Examples of CAU which may fall within the prohibition include exclusive or long-term supply agreements and price discrimination.

**Penalties:** Non-individuals (eg bodies corporate) face penalties of up to the greater of: \$10M; or three times any commercial gain (or 10% of NZ group turnover if the gain is not known). Individuals face penalties of up to \$0.5M per contravention. In the case of cartels, courts can order that an individual not be a director promotor, manager of a body corporate for up to 5 years.

**Criminalisation:** Since **8 April 2021** it is a **criminal offence** to intend, at the time of entering / giving effect to the alleged cartel provision (**the relevant time**), to engage in price fixing, restricting output or market allocating. It will be a **defence** if, at the relevant time, the defendant believed on reasonable grounds that an exception applied. Defendants will face significant fines, and individuals may also face up to **7 years' imprisonment**.